

Faculty Travel Grants

Evaluation rubric

Criteria	Evaluation	Max Score
Abstract	The abstract is clear, concise and gives the reader an excellent sense of the purpose of the travel.	5
Purpose of the travel	There is a clear and detailed description of and rationale for travel, including an invitation to participate and/or other supporting material.	15
Relevance to NASA's Mission Directorates	The proposed travel is very relevant to one or more of NASA's Mission Directorates.	15
Goals and objectives	The goals and objectives of the travel are clearly stated. There are compelling reasons offered to pursue the travel.	10
Timeline	The proposed timeline is clear and detailed timeline of travel, including a feasible schedule for achieving teaching, research, or future funding outcomes related to travel.	10
Budget narrative and worksheet	There is a clear, detailed budget plan, including a justification of expenditures for the proposed plan and a complete budgetary schedule for the length of the program.	15
Expected outcomes	There is great potential for travel to positively impact research, teaching, or future funding. The proposal includes a well-defined plan for achieving outcomes and the PI has a demonstrated record of output.	20
Recent award	Never OR Travel compliments previous award.	10
		100

Revised: 9/1/2021

NASA CT SPACE GRANT CONSORTIUM

203 Dana Hall, University of Hartford (Lead Institution), 200 Bloomfield Avenue, West Hartford, CT 06117
 860.768.4813 ctspgrant@hartford.edu ; [@CTSpaceGrant](http://www.ctspacegrant.org)